

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,664	04/03/2001	David R. Hembree	MI22-1680/US 4481		
21567	7590 02/11/2002				
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			EXAMINER		
601 W. FIRST SUITE 1300		NGUYEN, VINH P			
SPOKANE, V	VA 99201-3828		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2829		
		DATE MAILED: 02/11/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

و شده و و							
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/825,66	i4	HEMBREE, DAVID R.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		VINH P NO		2858 2829			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 April 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 14-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∏ a _l	pproved b)⊡ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/825,664

Art Unit: 2858

·

3

1. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 14, it is unclear what "an electrical coupling" of the workpiece" and "an electrical coupling" of the chuck represent. Are they shown in any of drawings?

The dependent claims not specifically address share the same indefiniteness as they depend from rejected base claims.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stillman (Pat # 4,754,555).

As to claims 14-16, Stillman discloses an apparatus for inspecting the coplanarity of leaded surface mounted electronic components having a chuck (42,44,46) for holding an electronic workpiece (10) with electrical coupling (12) and coupled with electrical coupling (electrical contact underneath of spring "76" as shown in figures 4-5) of a chuck and intermediate member including a plurality of electrical interconnects (78) to electrically connect the electrical coupling (12) with the electrical coupling of the chuck.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,664

Art Unit: 2858

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 3

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman (pat #

4,754,555).

Stillman discloses an apparatus for inspecting the coplanarity of leaded surface mounted

electronic components as mentioned in paragraph # 3. As to claim 17, the type of electrical

interconnect such as "a wire" would have been considered as an alternative choice and also well

known in the art as long as it can conduct the signals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6.

should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

02/07/2002